

United States Patent and Trademark Office

July 1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,344	03/30/2004	Wolfgang Dersch	1/1434	4987	
28501	7590 .12/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION 900 RIDGEBURY ROAD			HEARD, THOM	HEARD, THOMAS SWEENEY	
P. O. BOX 368			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RIDGEFIEL	D, CT 06877	•	1654		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/813,344	DERSCH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thomas S Heard	1654			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mild of the period for reply specified about If NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or expension.	THIS COMMUNICATION. Ille under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ailing date of this communication. It is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period wattended period for reply will, by statute, Iter than three months after the mailing.	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(3) 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to comr	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above cla 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are r 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is o	objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9					
a) All b) Some * 1. Certified copie 2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the application from	c) None of: es of the priority documents es of the priority documents certified copies of the prior om the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No ed in this National Stage			
Gee the attached deta	anda Office action for a list (or the contined copies not receive	u.			
A44-a4						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (P)	rO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Paten		Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,344

Art Unit: 1654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (WO 00/59929), and Grela, et al, "A Highly Efficient Ruthenium Catalyst or Metathesis Reactions," *Angew. Chem. Int.* (2002) Vol. 41, pp 4038-4040.

Claims 1-9 are drawn to a process of making macrocyclic compounds known in the art for HCV treatment (WO 00/59929). The applicants have stated that in WO 00/59929 a synthetic step used to form the macrocyclic compound using ruthenium-based catalysis is suggested wherein in fact it is specifically taught. Both Grubb's catalysis [(tricyclohexylphosphine) benzylidene ruthenium IV dichloride] and Hoveyda's catalysis are taught for ring closure reactions in the instant claims, see examples 22-25, and 30 found in pages 68-71 and page 80. WO 00/59929 does not teach variants of Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst; specifically compound IV of the instant case.

Grela et al teaches the art of metathesis reactions using the compound of IV for the synthesis of cyclic compounds. Grela teaches how the Hoveyda catalysis is significantly improved through the employment of a nitro group on the phenyl ring, thereby changing the steric and electronic character of the compound, see pages 4038-4040. Grela also teaches the practical benefit of this efficient catalyst in that it is easily made, can operate under mild conditions, and can be applied in a variety of reactions

Art Unit: 1654

such as RCM (ring closure reactions), CM (cross metathesis), and enyne metathesis reactions that are directly applicable to the instant claims.

Given the underlying problem of obtaining a better yield of the desired products in the instant claims, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the nitro-substituted Hoveyda's catalysis. One would have been motivated to do so given the improved efficiency of reaction, ease of obtaining the catalyst, and broad application in metathesis reactions applicable in the instant application as taught by Grela. Therefore the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas S Heard whose telephone number is (571) 272-2064. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,344

Art Unit: 1654

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TSH

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Bour Compell